



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,042	05/11/2007	Wilhelmus Petrus Johannes De Kruijf	3135-062778	8973
28389 7590 07/07/2009 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219				
EXAMINER				
MEYER, KATY E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3618				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/07/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary**Application No.**

10/594,042

Applicant(s)DE KRUIJF, WILHELMUS PETRUS
JOHANNES**Examiner**

Katy Meyer

Art Unit

3618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/07
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 15 are cancelled.

Claims 16 – 30 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 16 previously recites a carrying wheel for use in a wheelchair comprising a motor, wherein the stator is provided with a support element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 – 18, 20 – 23 and 26 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weisz (US 6,494,278) in view of Meier (US 5,540,297).

Weisz discloses a wheelchair (column 1, lines 6 – 9), containing: a frame (see 6); at least two carrying wheels (1) detachably connected to the frame by means of an insertable axle (2); at least one electrical wheel motor (17) whereby the wheel motor forms a part of the detachable carrying wheel.

Meier discloses a support element (7) that supports the stator of a motor on a wheelchair with respect to the ground (see 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the wheelchair taught by Weisz with the support element taught by Meier to further support the wheelchair and motor, especially if the wheelchair is tipped.

Weisz further discloses: a motor fitted completely in a hub (4) of each carrying wheel; a transmission (24); and a control system positioned at least partly laterally (60, Fig. 5).

Meier further disclose: a support element that contacts the ground behind the stator (Fig. 1); a support wheel (20); and a limiting element (6) connected swiveling to the support element (7), whereby forceful means (i.e. springs) force apart the top end of the limiting element and the support element (7).

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weisz (US 6,494,278) in view of Meier (US 5,540,297) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Mastov et al. (US 5,113,959).

Weisz and Meier meet all the limitations of the claimed invention, but do not disclose a support element that contacts the ground at several locations. Mastov et al. disclose a support for the stator of a motor on a wheelchair wherein the support contacts the ground at several locations (see Pos. B and C, Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the support disclosed by Meier with the adjustability taught by Mastov et al. so that the stator is supported on both even and uneven terrain.

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weisz (US 6,494,278) in view of Meier (US 5,540,297) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Alber (US 5,246,082).

Weisz and Meier meet all the limitations of the claimed invention, but do not disclose a planetary gear box. Alber teaches an in wheel motor provided with planetary gearing (37 - 39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the wheel motor disclosed by Weisz with the planetary gearing taught by Alber to conserve space within the wheel.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katy Meyer whose telephone number is (571)272-5830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on 571-272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 3618

/Christopher P Ellis/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618